

MOTION NO. \_\_\_\_\_

A MOTION establishing conditions for future County administration of the grant from the National Institute on Drug Abuse for drug treatment services.

WHEREAS, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) makes available an annual grant of approximately \$1.3 million to provide treatment services for drug abusers living in King County, and

WHEREAS, up until October 1, 1978, King County had primary responsibility for administering the NIDA grant, and

WHEREAS, on October 1, 1978, NIDA assumed direct administrative responsibility for the grant when the King County Council refused to accept conditions imposed by NIDA which would have made a mockery of the concept of local control of community-based programs, and

WHEREAS, NIDA now indicates that it wishes to award its 1980 - 81 fiscal year grant to the State of Washington, and

WHEREAS, the State Department of Social and Health Services has established a task force to explore alternative methods for administration of the grant should NIDA award it to the state;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

1. The County Council, having once experienced NIDA's concept of local control, will not accept county administration of the grant unless certain conditions which will once again establish legitimate local control are met. Specifically:

a. The county must be allowed to establish whatever administrative structure its local elected officials judge to be the most effective for the delivery of community-based services.

b. The county must be allowed to determine what allocation of slots among treatment modalities will best serve the needs of clients.

c. The county must be allowed to judge which contractors

1 can best meet those client needs.

2       2. Proposed county administration of the federal grant must  
3 not represent a reduction from funding for treatment slots funded  
4 via this grant. The slot reimbursement to direct services agencies  
5 will be equal to the federal share of the slot reimbursement awarded  
6 to King County. The Department of Social & Health Services will  
7 reimburse King County for its administrative costs.

8       3. The county will require uniform financial administration  
9 of grant moneys by its subcontracting agencies and will require  
10 full disclosure of agency budgets, revenues and expenditures.

11       4. The county will develop a mechanism which:

12       a. Ensures that clients are referred to the proper treatment  
13 modality and agency. To implement this provision, the county, in  
14 cooperation with the Department of Social and Health Services and  
15 service providers, will develop criteria for what constitutes an  
16 acceptable intake; standards for intake accountability which shall  
17 become part of each agency's contract with the county; and proced-  
18 ures for client review and contract monitoring under this provision.

19       b. Ensures that clients are not being treated simultaneously  
20 by two or more agencies without those agencies' knowledge. This  
21 provision will be implemented by monitoring of WISDUM reports when  
22 the Bureau of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse is able to implement  
23 a unique identifier for clients entering the King County treat-  
24 ment system.

25       c. Ensures that treatment personnel of contracted agencies  
26 are not actively abusing drugs as evidenced by written policy of  
27 those agencies.

28       5. The county will require that accountable standards for  
29 what constitutes a slot should meet the following service level  
30 standards:

31       a. Outpatient. On the average, there should be at least  
32 two client hours of direct service (excluding chemotherapy) per  
33 utilized slot per month.

1           b. Residential inpatient. On the average, there should  
2 be at least eight client hours of direct service (excluding  
3 activities therapy) per utilized slot per month.

4           6. The county will require that slot utilization at each  
5 agency be at the 85% level quarterly. An average of 95% for the  
6 contract year shall be considered a minimum standard for full  
7 utilization. Failure to maintain the slot utilization or service  
8 levels may be cause for cancellation of contracts in whole or in  
9 part and/or quarterly reallocation of slots to treatment modalities  
10 which are fully utilized.

11           7. The county, in cooperation with the Department of Social  
12 and Health Services and service providers, will develop criteria  
13 and standards for time limits for each treatment modality which  
14 shall become part of each agency's contract with the county.  
15 Mechanisms for client review and contract monitoring under this  
16 provision will also be developed.

17           8. After December 31, 1980, the county may not commit itself  
18 to methadone maintenance as a treatment modality; and the county  
19 will explore other treatment alternatives to methadone maintenance  
20 as its first option. The Division of Human Services, after con-  
21 sultation with the Department of Social and Health Services and  
22 service providers, is requested to submit a report and recom-  
23 mendations on treatment alternatives no later than October 1, 1980.  
24 Methadone maintenance programs will be eligible for funding from  
25 the NIDA grant in 1980, subject to the conditions of Motion 3125;  
26 EXCEPT THAT, the functions assigned to the now defunct Center for  
27 Addiction Services Screening Board shall be transferred to a screen-  
28 ing committee composed of one representative of the Manager of the  
29 Division of Human Services, one representative each of the two  
30 agencies which now contract with the County to provide methadone  
31 maintenance, one representative of residential treatment agencies,  
32 one representative of drug free outpatient treatment agencies, and  
33 one representative of the Department of Social and Health Services.

1           9. The county specifically reserves the right to refuse  
 2 to accept the grant or to terminate it at any time, having  
 3 given proper notice, should administrative decisions of the  
 4 Federal funding source have the effect of nullifying or alter-  
 5 ing policies approved by the Council.

6           PASSED this 11th day of April, 1980.

7                                   KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
 8                                   KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

9                                   *Bill Adams*  
 10                                  Chairman

11       ATTEST:

12  
 13       *Dorothy M. Adams* DEPUTY  
 14       Clerk of the Council